



CALL FOR PAPERS

**14<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Biocosmology (14ISBC), in collaboration with the Europäischen Academie fur Naturheilverfahren und Umweltmedizin (Berlin), Cracow universities, and the Polish Society of Hiperthermia**

*July 14-15, 2017, Sheraton hotel, Cracow, Poland*

***Biocosmology and Cancer***

***recognizing Teleological and Integralist approaches in contemporary biology, medicine and health sciences; and reinstating Aristotle's scientific Organicism (Entelechial naturalism, teleological physics)***

Objective scientific knowledge (naturalist evidence) in biological, medical and health sciences is full of facts that point out (to) and prove the reality – the life activity of living things (subjects) universally is reduced to inherency, and basically is *telic* (i.e. the needed results of life activity essentially oriented – *teleological*). All this fully corresponds to Aristotle's (super)system of knowledge – all-encompassing (Bio)cosmology (or *Organon*Kosmology), wherein *Organon*, from the Greek – means “the instrument”, i.e. the Function, and wherein *hyle* (matter), *morphofunctional* potency and activity (energy), and *entelechial* organization (information) – all are aimed at the continued stability and success in development, and eventual goal (the perfect result of activity) attainment. Therefore, Aristotle's (Father of science) Biocosmology is Entelechial naturalism (the universalizing teleological physics and metaphysics), in this stressing that as much the philosophy of Stagirite, as his natural and social sciences – all are the cognate comprehensive Bio-sciences.

The Biocosmological Association (BCA) has introduced and develops the Triadologic approach to scholarly endeavors (referring as to the biological evidence, as to Pitirim A. Sorokin's triadologic sociocultural findings, see his four-volume *magnum opus*, “Social and cultural dynamics”; 1937–1941). Under this priority, BCA associates the scholars who recognize the existence and the essential equal significance of the Three synchronous autonomic Types of knowledges (Types of cosmologies): Two polar (opposite to each other); and the Third (or the First, in significance) that is intermediate and Integralist (and which unites the totally opposite means, for achieving homeostatic harmony). As for the polar Types, the first of them always is characterized by the (aetiologically) main causes and forces that act *from within* (this is Aristotle's – Organicist and Naturalist Type); while the second pole always is reduced ultimately to the type of causes and forces that act *from without* (and which is essentially Plato's – Dualist and Idealist/Materialist Type). The intermediate Type – of Integralist knowledge (usually, now, referring to complex systems theories and contemporary holistic approaches) – is *in-between* poles, but cosmologically is self-sufficient (and, wherein, contemporary theories of *information* and Eastern cosmologies are increasingly important).

Each Integralist Type takes knowledge (and its rational means) equally from both poles, and synthesizes from them ‘a golden mean’ – the Integralist (autonomic substantive) system of knowledge that is built on its own cosmological foundation. Prof. Rudolf Klimek's concept of the “informational diseases” and his modification of A.Einstein's famous formula into  $E=i mc^2$  – can serve as a sample of the Integralist approach. Notably, it is significant that Integralism is the main scope of the BCA activities.

The crux is, however, up to now (from the XVII-th century, in our conventional milieu of scholarly endeavors) – we have established and still use exclusively the unipolar and monolinear realm of rational knowledge (the so-called Western science and philosophy, and its “scientific method” that continues to ban Aristotle’s teleological physics), and that is based on (ultimately is reduced to) Plato’s Dualism and Idealism/Materialism – mathematical physicalism and anthropocentrism, thus having really the un-natural essence. Indeed, the time is ripe to reinstate the true (Aristotle’s *entelechial*) naturalism and the natural state of things – to reintroduce the Biocosmological Triadologic approach and actualize all the Three Types of scholarly endeavors. First and foremost, we look forward to the full rehabilitation of the natural laws that are based (in their comprehension) on Aristotle’s foundational principles of *entelechism* and *hylemorphism*, a cornerstone for the natural laws of Bipolarity, Dynamicity and Cyclicity – Triadicity, which universally are reduced and point to the inherency (the primary substances that *from within* generate the *telic* activities) of all real (tangible) things (subjects) and processes in our world (Cosmos). Likewise, the inherent Changeability (that is ontogenetic and telic) is the omnipresent natural Organicist principle. Thereby, in respect to all the subjects of our real Cosmos – they all (at all levels) have the internally determined and disposed (*from within*) potencies (*energies*) and *hylemorphic* structures (*matter*), which are *entelechially* organized (*information*) – for the self-realization of their entelechial ontogeneses that are (as Aristotle’s *Kosmos* on the whole) essentially Dynamic, Hierarchical, Heterogeneous, and Finite.

All these basic principles (laws) have the same (indispensable) significance for contemporary science and philosophy, as (for instance) the laws of Gravity and Electromagnetism have for modern physics. As a result, consequently, not applying the natural (Organicist) laws – we inevitably have arrived today at a troublesome situation, which we call as the ‘cosmological insufficiency’. Indeed, the evident result (of this ‘insufficiency’), for instance, is that modern (conventional) medicine is unable to deal with the aetiological causes of chronic non-infectious and non-traumatic diseases (the so-called “civilizational diseases”), including cancer. However, in the present, Aristotle’s *Organon* cosmology, i.e. his theoretical foundations and conceptual constructions, based on the Organicist principles of internal telic aetiology, gnoseology, methodology, anthropology, as well as relating sociocultural and evolutionary studies and practices, and which ought to be taken as the whole Type of rationality (of Organicist cosmology) – still are factually prohibited (under taboo), and not allowed to be used in the contemporary scholarly milieu.

Francis Bacon (1561–1626), while struggling against Aristotle and approaching to the “the new scientific method” – but he concluded then, in the 1620, in his famous “*Novum Organum*”, that “truth is rightly called the daughter of time and not of authority”; and that scientific gentlemen (of his time) were under “the spell of antiquity, of authors and of consent”, which had “so shackled men’s courage that (as if bewitched) they have been unable to get close to things themselves.” Precisely in the same way, however, we (four centuries later) – and facing our challenges of the XXI-st century – still continue to stubbornly use exclusively the foundations (of) and approaches in science that were established yet in the XVII-th century. Hopefully, our Symposium will be able to address this highly dangerous situation, otherwise the number of challenges in health and favorable sociocultural development will continue to grow, while our scientific capabilities inevitably decline (in respect to human health and social wellbeing). All the more we hope that our scholarly community (the colleagues that could come together around the aims and scope of Cracow’s meeting, starting with tackling the issues of cancer) – will strongly contribute to promoting the contemporary Organicist and Integralist endeavors, their genuine foundations and effective methods.

#### **Abstract**

*Deadline for Abstract Submission* (to the addresses below) is before **May 31, 2017**.

Preferably, the abstracts do not exceed 2 pages, and must include:

1. Title of the contribution;
2. Names, academic titles, affiliation (departments, institutes/universities, and cities of authors), email address of corresponding author;
3. Key words (3-5);
4. Text (Font – Times New Roman, single spaced, size – 14, margins – 2 cm).
5. Language (of the whole Symposium) is English.

**Full papers** of presentations (in the form of scientific articles and scholarly essays) are kindly invited to be prepared and sent to Dr. Konstantin Khroutski, editor of the journal “Biocosmology – neo-Aristotelism” (due to the Journal’s guidelines – <http://en.biocosmology.ru/electronic-journal-biocosmology---neo-aristotelism/guidelines-for-authors> )

With kindest regards,

**Rudolf KLIMEK**, Ph. D.  
Professor, Chair of the 14ISBC;  
D.h.c., M.D., FWLA;  
Fertility Centre, Cracow; Board Member of the Biocosmological Association;  
Cracow, POLAND  
Email: [mmklimek@cyf-kr.edu.pl](mailto:mmklimek@cyf-kr.edu.pl)

**Xiaoting LIU**, Ph. D.  
President, the Biocosmological Association – <http://en.biocosmology.ru/>  
Professor, College of Philosophy and Sociology, Beijing Normal University;  
Director of the BNU Institute of History and Philosophy of Science;  
Beijing, CHINA  
Email: [liuxiaoting@bnu.edu.cn](mailto:liuxiaoting@bnu.edu.cn)

**Konstantin S. KHROUTSKI**, Ph. D.  
Secretary of the Biocosmological Association – <http://en.biocosmology.ru/>  
Editor, “Biocosmology – neo-Aristotelism”;  
Docent at the Novgorod State University after Yaroslav-the-Wise;  
Academician of the International Academy of Science (Russian Section);  
Veliky Novgorod, RUSSIA  
Email: [konstantin.khrutsky@novsu.ru](mailto:konstantin.khrutsky@novsu.ru), [biocosmolog@gmail.com](mailto:biocosmolog@gmail.com)